1	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CENTRE COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA
2	CRIMINAL DIVISION
3	COMMONWEALTH : NO. CP-14-CR-2421-2011 : NO. CP-14-CR-2422-2011
4	vs :
5	•
6	GERALD A. SANDUSKY :
7	
8	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
9	(Hearing)
10	DEECDE. Down E Fondolo Conjon Judgo
11	BEFORE: Barry F. Feudale, Senior Judge John M. Cleland, Senior Judge
12	(By telephone)
13	DATE: June 26, 2012
14	PLACE: Attorney General Offices 2515 Green Tech Drive State College, PA 16803
15	ļ
16	PROTHONOL APPEARANCES:
17	APPEARANCES:
18	FOR THE COMMONWEALTH: Joseph E. McGettigan, Esq. Frank G. Fina, Esq.
19	Frank G. Fina, Esq.
20	FOR THE DEFENDANT: Joseph Amendola, Esq.
21	Karl Rominger, Esq.
22	NOTES BY: Patricia A. Grey, RPR
23	Official Court Reporter Room 208, Centre County Courthouse
24	102 South Allegheny Street Bellefonte, PA 16823
25	814-355-6734 OR FAX 814-548-1158



1	INDEX TO THE V	WITNESSES
2	DIRECT CF	OSS REDIRECT RECROSS
3	COMMONWEALTH:	
4	(None)	
5		
6	DEFENDANT:	
7	(None)	
8		
9	INDEX TO THE	EXHIBITS
10		ADMITTED
11	COMMONWEALTH:	
12	(None)	
13		
14	DEFENDANT:	
15	(None)	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE CLELAND: Hello.
3	JUDGE FEUDALE: Judge Cleland?
4	JUDGE CLELAND: Yes, it is.
5	JUDGE FEUDALE: Judge Feudale. How are
6	you?
7	JUDGE CLELAND: I'm good. Thank you.
8	JUDGE FEUDALE: That's good. I'm here
9	at the where am I actually?
10	MR. FINA: Green Tech Drive at the
11	Office of Attorney General offices in Centre
12	County.
13	JUDGE FEUDALE: Okay. And Mr. Fina is
14	here. I'll have him identify who else is
15	present, and we'll proceed from there. He'll
16	indicate the reason why we're present.
17	MR. FINA: Thank you, Your Honor.
18	Frank Fina behalf of the Office of
19	Attorney General, along with Senior Deputy Joseph
20	McGettigan. Also present is Regional Director
21	Randy Feathers, Special Agent Tony Sassano, State
22	Police Trooper James Ellis, State Police Corporal
23	Jeff Dombrowski, Joseph Amendola, and Attorney
24	Carl Rominger, and the court reporter is also
25	present.

Initially, I just want to thank everybody for so quickly getting together and making themselves accessible for this hearing.

1.0

In terms of background as to why I requested this hearing, the Office of Attorney General has been contacted by the media fairly extensively over the last two to three days with information as a result of the prosecution of Mr. Sandusky. This information has been getting increasingly detailed and has been involving — Judge, can you hear me all right?

JUDGE CLELAND: I can hear you fine. Thank you.

MR. FINA: Okay. And has involved information beyond that which was disclosed at the trial and during the proceedings.

This came to a head yesterday when the office was contacted with specific information that the recording that was made of Matthew Sandusky's interview with the Office of Attorney General had been disclosed to the media and that they were going to play the recording publicly.

That, in fact, has now occurred this morning on *The Today Show*. Excerpts of the tape recorded interview of Matthew Sandusky have been

played publicly.

In addition, there have been questions asked of the Office of Attorney General regarding grand jury testimony that was given, both by witnesses who testified at trial and by individuals who did not testify at the trial.

The Office of Attorney General has significant concerns about, first of all, the use of grand jury information disclosure to the public or to third parties, and this is a concern that the office had — that we have had pretrial and even during the trial.

I want to be specific. I'm talking about information that was not disclosed during the trial. There is no question that grand jury information disclosed at any criminal proceeding becomes public information and that the secrecy provisions, absent some extraordinary circumstance, would no longer apply.

I'm talking about information that was not disclosed as part of the proceedings in this case. As I think both Your Honors are aware, this concern was previously raised in at least one hearing and I believe in two hearings about the potential future use of discovery in grand

jury materials in this case.

1.7

Additionally, the Commonwealth has concerns about items turned over under Rule 573 and/or under the Brady case, Maryland versus Brady, about the post-trial public disclosure of those materials which it's certainly a novel issue and one that I can't find any law on. But I'm aware of no provision or authority for the post-trial disclosure of discovery information of criminal investigative information that was not disclosed during the trial and yet is now being disclosed publicly. I would assert it's outside of the rules that apply to discovery and outside of the law to do so.

I think it also raises questions that are beyond the ken of this Court perhaps in conflict of interest and issues whether or not such disclosures are in the best interests of Mr. Sandusky, an odd situation for the Commonwealth to be in to be arguing the best interests of Mr. Sandusky but nonetheless one that I think may be appropriate to raise to the Courts at this time.

It's the Commonwealth's desire -obviously, it's ultimately up to the Courts, but

it's the Commonwealth's desire that inquiry be
made and about these disclosures, how they

ccurred, and some control, if possible, be
asserted over any future disclosures of either
the discovery information or grand jury
information that are in the possession of the
defense.

The Commonwealth is willing to provide and able to provide testimony, for example, about our procedures and the controls that we have had over the taped interview of Matthew Sandusky. We believe we can state very clearly who had copies, how our copies were maintained and secured. So I defer to Your Honors as to how you would want to proceed from this point on.

JUDGE FEUDALE: Judge, if I may, I would like to hear from Messrs. Amendola and/or Rominger just based on what comments Mr. Fina has now put on the record before yourself and myself.

JUDGE CLELAND: That's fine with me. I defer to your control.

MR. AMENDOLA: I can tell both Your Honors I haven't shared the information I received from the Commonwealth with anybody. It's still in my files.

I know that -- I know that I received the tape disc from the Commonwealth concerning Matt Sandusky's testimony. I gave that copy to Mr. Rominger to review because there was no transcript. Even though the cover letter indicated there was a transcript, Your Honors, there wasn't. I didn't have the time in the middle of trial to spend 45 minutes. I was working every night four or five, six hours getting ready for the next day. I asked Mr. Rominger to review that to see what Matt Sandusky said. Everything that I received from the Commonwealth, besides copies that went to people involved in the defense team, stayed with In fact, the files are still in my vehicle. me.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

That's the best I can tell you. I didn't share it with anybody. Nobody got a copy of stuff publicly other than the people on the defense team from me.

JUDGE FEUDALE: Just a question then before I hear from Mr. Rominger from myself.

You're aware, of course, as a result of earlier proceedings I authorized turning over transcripts of grand jury testimony in advance of the testimony rather than after the witness

testified. Now, I know that one of those 1 transcripts would have been -- and perhaps I 2 should be corrected, would have been the 3 testimony of Matt Sandusky; is that correct? 4 MR. FINA: Yes, Your Honor. We turned 5 over the transcripts not only of the witnesses 6 who testified at trial but the transcript of any 7 witness who was a potential either Commonwealth 8 witness or defense witness. 9 10 JUDGE FEUDALE: Okay. I think that was beyond our 11 MR. FINA: obligation but we did that. That would have 12 included the testimony of Matthew Sandusky. 13 JUDGE FEUDALE: Although Mr. Sandusky, 14 15 Matthew Sandusky, participated in some type of matter that was memorized and turned over to 16 Mr. Amendola and to Mr. Rominger, he did not 17 18 testify at the trial itself? MR. FINA: That's correct, Your Honor. 19 20 He did not testify. JUDGE FEUDALE: So the transcript that I 21 had authorized released had -- has not been 22 23 utilized? In fact his MR. FINA: That's correct.

name, I don't believe, ever was raised on the

24

record in open court.

JUDGE FEUDALE: That transcript you did

receive, you acknowledge you received that?

MR. AMENDOLA: I received it.

Ironically, Your Honor, I never reviewed it.

JUDGE FEUDALE: Is that right?

MR. AMENDOLA: Didn't have a need to.

2.0

2.2

MR. AMENDOLA: Didn't have a need to. I mean, the Court -- I know Judge Cleland is very aware of this. I asked for continuances because we were so backed up with discovery materials, I was literally preparing the night before for testimony the next day. Never got to Matt Sandusky's transcript because he wasn't called as a witness.

Now, any reference I made to Matt
Sandusky had to do with Matt Sandusky coming back
to State College after he testified and telling
his family that he had testified and that
basically he told everybody that his father
hadn't done anything with him. That's where I
had my reference in terms of whatever Matt
Sandusky -- what I anticipated him saying. We
were going to call him as our witness, Judge. Up
until the Commonwealth had told us what it told
us mid-trial, we had gone into the trial thinking

1 that Matt was going to be a defense witness. JUDGE FEUDALE: He was listed as a 2 3 defense witness? MR. AMENDOLA: Oh, yes. We listed him. 4 JUDGE FEUDALE: With the matters that 5 were brought before Judge Cleland? 6 7 MR. AMENDOLA: Yes. JUDGE FEUDALE: Was he subpoenaed or 8 9 just listed as a witness? MR. AMENDOLA: We didn't subpoena him 10 because he was a family member. We had the list]] 12 to the jury, and he was actually on both our 13 lists as I recall. 14 MR. FINA: That is true, yes. 15 MR. AMENDOLA: So always anticipated him 16 being a witness. My information had nothing to 17 do with the grand jury proceeding. I can 18 represent to this Court, to Judge Cleland, to the Commonwealth, I never even looked at that 19 20 transcript because I saw no need to since he 21 wasn't called. 22 JUDGE FEUDALE: So when you were 23 contacted, however that may have occurred, 24 Mr. Fina, by Mr. Matthew Sandusky or his 25 lawyer -- I understand he has a lawyer?

MR. FINA: Yeah. 1 MR. McGETTIGAN: That is unclear. 2 3 I don't know that he has --MR. FINA: 4 I'm not sure how to state this. There is somebody asserting that he is Mr. Sandusky's 5 attorney. I'm not sure Mr. Sandusky agrees with 6 7 that. 8 JUDGE FEUDALE: Okav. MR. FINA: It's an odd circumstance. 9 But I'm not aware of whether or not he is 10 actually represented at this time. 11 JUDGE FEUDALE: Did he participate in 12 13 that proceeding? MR. FINA: No. Matt Sandusky appeared 14 here on Thursday -- I'm sorry. On Saturday, what 15 16 date was that? MR. AMENDOLA: June 15th. 17 18 MR. FINA: And June 15th. MR. AMENDOLA: It would have been 19 20 Thursday evening as I recall. 21 Thursday evening, June 15th, MR. FINA: and gave a statement. He did not have an 22 23 attorney during the statement. He then returned 24 the next day and gave a taped statement, no counsel present. He didn't have any attorney 25

with him. 1 That's when the Office 2 JUDGE FEUDALE: 3 of Attorney General decided, I think appropriately so, to turn that information over 4 5 t.o --6 MR. FINA: Yes. To provide Mr. Amendola 7 JUDGE FEUDALE: with the information. 8 MR. FINA: Within I think it was an hour 9 of the tape being completed, it was driven over 10 11 to Attorney Amendola. 12 Ultimately, Judge JUDGE FEUDALE: Cleland was also made aware of that? 13 Yes, Your Honor. 14 MR. FINA: JUDGE FEUDALE: So that information 15 16 falls within, as I see it, the public domain. Evidently you voluntarily turned it over to 17 Mr. Amendola. And when I say public domain, I 18 don't mean within the ambit of grand jury 19 20 secrecy. Maybe I mischaracterized it. My main concern would be the matter with regard to the 21

24 transmitted to the public or to NBC or the *Today*25 people.

22

23

transcript that I had authorized be released and

I didn't hear anything that has been in any way

1 MR. FINA: I'm not clear on that, Judge. 2 JUDGE FEUDALE: Oh, okay. 3 MR. FINA: I think -- and I have not 4 gone through all of the interviews. I frankly 5 have been trying to avoid them. I heard that 6 there have been references made to the fact that 7 Mr. Matt Sandusky testified in front of the grand 8 jury and that his taped statement was inconsistent with his grand jury testimony. 9 10 Commonwealth has concerns with that disclosure. 11 In addition, you know, the future use of 12 Mr. Sandusky as a grand jury witness now is 13 problematic. 14 JUDGE FEUDALE: Um-hum. Noting that we 15 have a continuing investigation. 16 MR. FINA: Right. 17 JUDGE FEUDALE: Ongoing investigation. 18 Well, Mr. Rominger. 19 MR. ROMINGER: I have not read the Matt 20 Sandusky grand jury transcript either. 21 references I made again are based upon our 22 representations from Matt himself to his father that he told the grand jury nothing had happened. 23 24 In fact, I believe Matt Sandusky actually helped 25 us carry boxes for his father in on Monday

7 morning of trial. So that was a complete 2 surprise when he switched sides. 3 What else? So -- and I was given the Matt Sandusky tape -- was that Sunday -- in court 4 5 after Mr. Amendola had received it but prior to us deciding whether or not to call Jerry -- which 6 7 day was the last day of trial? MR. AMENDOLA: Thursday. 8 9 MR. ROMINGER: Thursday. Thursday, yeah. 10 MR. FINA: MR. ROMINGER: So it would have been 11 12 Wednesday I received it. It's been in my hotel It's been in my car. It's still in my 13 room. That's where it's been. 14 JUDGE FEUDALE: Mr. Fina, I'll go back 15 to you if you have any questions, and we'll also 16 17 hear, of course, from Judge Cleland. What specific request are you making of me and/or 18 19 Judge Cleland? MR. FINA: Your Honors, what we are 20 requesting is court orders. One would be 21 2.2 pertaining to grand jury information that again 23 has not been disclosed during the course of the public proceedings at trial and a protective

order over that information that it not be

24

disseminated to any third parties without further Order of the Court.

Obviously, that information may be pertinent to appellate issues and it would be appropriate for it to be utilized in that regard and to be provided to any future appellate counsel. But in terms of public dissemination or dissemination to third parties not connected to the ongoing nature of this case, I think would be appropriate for a protective order to protect the victims, to protect victims who were not named in this case, and there was information turned over about other potential victims.

In addition, I believe a protective order under Rule 573, subsection f, would be appropriate pertaining to investigative reports and materials that were provided in discovery that were not made public during the proceedings, again, for the same reasons of protecting the ongoing nature of this investigation, protecting the victims in this case, protecting unnamed victims in this case, and, frankly, just preserving the integrity of the process.

It also seems to me it's not in the interest of Mr. Sandusky and I'm in this very odd

position here but I don't think -- I can't

imagine defense counsel would disagree with me on

that this -- it's not in the interest of

Mr. Sandusky to have, you know, additional

incriminating information being revealed to the

public.

I'm talking about incriminating information beyond that which was presented during the trial. There's a great deal of that in the discovery. Evidence that, for a variety of reasons, the Commonwealth did not utilize but that was highly incriminating of Mr. Sandusky. I just don't know how that is in his best interest or anybody's best interest this stuff be publicly disseminated post trial.

JUDGE FEUDALE: 573(f) is what rule, Frank? Just to help me.

MR. FINA: Rule 573(f) of the

Pennsylvania Rules of Criminal Procedure, it

pertains to discovery and inspection of

materials. Section f, regards protective orders

and it gives the Court very wide authority,

really for almost any reason the Court deems

appropriate, to issue a protective order over

discovery materials.

JUDGE FEUDALE: As to the grand jury

transcript that I authorized and released, where

we did the earlier procedure with regard to the

civil attorneys and we had a separate proceeding,

wasn't there an order indicating that that

information wasn't to be turned over in general or to civil attorneys? I don't have it with me.

MR. FINA: My recollection, Judge, it was pointed to the civil attorneys.

JUDGE FEUDALE: Okay.

MR. FINA: Off the top of my head, I don't remember. It was a pretty all encompassing order.

MR. ROMINGER: It included language if there were other people besides the defendant, the Pennsylvania lawyers, we were to ask and that's why we sent you one e-mail saying we wanted to share it with the expert Dr. Atkin. We wanted to share it with Dr. Berlin. So our interpretation of the order it was restricted to everybody and, in fact, with the New Jersey attorneys it did create some hick-ups for us because they didn't have access to some discovery, they would make an argument to us why aren't you guys saying that? We would tell them

1 we can't show it to you. So you just have to 2 trust us there's a reason we're not asking those 3 questions or calling that witness. 4 JUDGE FEUDALE: Judge Cleland. JUDGE CLELAND: Thank you. I have a 6 couple questions. 7 Mr. Fina, you interviewed Matt Sandusky and tape recorded the interview, that's correct? 8 9 MR. FINA: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE CLELAND: And how many copies of 10 11 that tape were made? 12 MR. FINA: There were three copies. 13 There are only three copies in existence -- well, there were as of the conclusion of the interview. 14 And, again, I can provide testimony from both 15 16 Corporal Dombrowski and Special Agent Sassano. 17 One copy was kept in evidence here in the OAG and 18 Agent Sassano can testify about that. No other 19 copies were made. A second copy was kept in 20 evidence at PSP under the supervision of Corporal 21 Dombrowski. No other copies of that were made. 22 A third disc was provided to the defense. 23 JUDGE CLELAND: And transcripts -- were 24 transcripts made of it and provided to the 25 defense?

1 MR. ROMINGER: No. 2 MR. FINA: There was a transcript made, 3 I believe, the next day or -- the following day 4 or some time thereafter, Your Honor. But I don't 5 believe a copy ever got to defense. I'm not 6 clear so. 7 MR. AMENDOLA: We never got a copy. The 8 letter indicated, Your Honor, that there was a 9 copy of the transcript with the disc but there 10 was no transcript attached to the disc. The transcript at the time of 11 MR. FINA: 12 getting the disc to the defense was not made 13 because we wanted to get it over there as quickly 14 as possible. 15 I would note, Your Honor, that the -- on 16 The Today Show this morning they were playing 17 actual audio excerpts of the interview with Matt 18 Sandusky. They were not relying on the 19 transcript. 20 JUDGE CLELAND: And do you have -- are 2.1 you confident that that is the actual tape that 22 you made? 23 MR. FINA: Yes, Your Honor. The trooper listened to the interview that was on this 24 morning on The Today Show and confirms it's an 25

I can

exact duplicate of the tape that we made. 1 JUDGE CLELAND: And, Mr. Amendola, I 2 understand what you said is that the tape was 3 delivered to you and you did not listen to it but 4 delivered it to Mr. Rominger; is that correct? 5 MR. AMENDOLA: Yes, Your Honor. Because 6 there was no transcript and I didn't have time to 7 review it by playing it. So I asked Mr. Rominger 8 to review it and give me a thumbnail sketch of 9 10 what Mr. Sandusky was saying. JUDGE CLELAND: And then, Mr. Rominger, 11 I couldn't quite hear what you said. Did I hear 12 you say that that tape is still in your car? 13 MR. ROMINGER: It is with all my 14 Sandusky materials. I had yet -- I was going to 15 unload them into the office this morning but when 16 I was summons to come up here, I simply didn't 17 take the file out of the car. 18 JUDGE CLELAND: Okay. Then I would 19 direct that you return that tape to Ms. Grey so 20 that it can be made part of the record and 21 subject to the protective order. Can you give 22 that to her this morning? You have it with you 23 24 in your car?

MR. ROMINGER: I do, Your Honor.

go get it right now.

JUDGE CLELAND: You don't have to do it right now but at the end of the hearing.

MR. ROMINGER: Okay.

JUDGE CLELAND: Okay. And do I take it that you are representing to the Court that you didn't make any copies of this either?

MR. ROMINGER: I made no copies of it, and I have no copies of it.

MR. AMENDOLA: And, Your Honor, just to maybe add another piece to this puzzle, as the Court knows, we were going through volumes of information really during the trial. That tape, as I recall, and I could be wrong, but I recall that tape was dropped off at my office. I don't recall getting it specifically handed to me by someone from the A.G.'s Office. It is possible -- I can check.

But it is possible that my secretary made a copy of it but she would have made a copy of it not to disseminate it. She would have made a copy to give to our staff, and there's one other person I can talk with who was assisting with us. I can't for the life of me — this is the young lady who was at our table during the

1 tria1. I can't imagine for the life of me think 2 that she would have divulged that for any reason. 3 She was given strict directives this was all 4 confidential stuff. I can check on that. 5 That's the only other way a copy might 6 have been made. I wasn't even in the office if 7 and when it was. I wasn't there. I was working 8 18-hour days just trying to get ready for trial. 9 I believe it's accurate, Your MR. FINA: 10 Honor, that it was slid under the door to 11 Mr. Amendola's office. No. I'm sorry. Yeah, I 12 have in my hand a receipt signed by Diane --13 MR. AMENDOLA: Amendola. 14 MR. FINA: Diane Amendola who signed for 15 it at 4:53 p.m. on June 15, 2012. We can put the 16 officers under oath and put this on the record. 17 I think that -- we can definitely do that. 18 think it's probably a good idea, but I have the 19 receipt in my hand. 20 That's all the questions JUDGE CLELAND: 21 I have. Thank you. 22 JUDGE FEUDALE: Well, you know, as far 23 as my role with regard to the grand jury information that has not been disclosed, that was 24

provided but not disclosed in the public

proceeding or in the trial, I agree that I'm going to -- if I didn't say it clearly -- state it in the matter with regard to our earlier proceeding with regard to the disseminating to civil counsel, there's going to be clarity with regard to that transcript of Matthew Sandusky that testified before the state wide investigative grand jury not be disclosed and a protective order would be entered in that regard.

As far as how the tape appeared in the hands of the media, I think that's something that you're just going to have to follow through on this.

MR. AMENDOLA: The irony is, Your Honor, we have no reason to release that tape to the media to have them play that tape in which apparently he says that his father committed inappropriate acts with him. I mean, that's certainly not in our best interest to revealed that. It makes no sense.

MR. McGETTIGAN: You also have no reason to comment on it. Yet Mr. Rominger was making comments on the same interview that that the tape was played.

MR. ROMINGER: Because when I left the

prison I was questioned about a tape with

specific information that sounded like Mr. Iskoff

(phonetic) had clearly heard it. So my counter

was if you heard the tape, you know at the

beginning he says he's worried about perjuring

himself. I believe that was my --

MR. McGETTIGAN: Sometimes the best counter is silence.

MR. FINA: Your Honor, I would just note that we would request that the order that you enter not be limited to Matthew Sandusky's testimony because, again, we turned over a great many transcripts beyond individuals who testified at trial.

JUDGE FEUDALE: Okay.

MR. FINA: We turned over transcripts of other potential victims and transcripts relating to the Penn State University and some of the potential events involving Penn State's connection with this case that I think would be highly sought by the media and that would not be in the best interests, again, of anybody, especially potentially ongoing matters to be disclosed.

MR. AMENDOLA: We have no problem with

that, Your Honor --

MR. ROMINGER: None.

MR. AMENDOLA: -- entering whatever protective order the Court feels is appropriate from either Judge Cleland and yourself, Your Honor.

I'm not giving that information to anybody. I can assure you of that. As a matter of fact, I would like to give it back to the Commonwealth but it's not timely now because we still have sentencing coming up. I certainly have no intention of divulging that or giving the general public or media copies of that information.

MR. ROMINGER: While we're airing our concerns, I have been asked by several media representatives who claim to have seen e-mails from Graham Spanier and the handwritten notes between Curley and Schultz which were showed to us -- I believe they were shown to us briefly at a hearing on a continuance, Judge, when the Commonwealth was attempting to show that Curley and Schultz would not be viable witnesses.

I find it fascinating that these media members can quote specifically out of those

materials which are not in the public record
which are grand jury material. If we're going to
run around and talk about that, I would raise
that as well.

MR. FINA: I think that is -- that's a

1.8

significant concern and it's one of the concerns that I have and one of the reasons that I'm very anxious to get protective orders if possible. I mean, those -- copies of those e-mails and handwritten notes were turned over in this case in discovery and they're in the possession of the defense. Unfortunately, copies of those also are held by Penn State who was, you know, the originator of them -- one of the sources for them.

So, I mean, that's a grave concern for us as well and the possession of those by Penn State is beyond the ken of this hearing but that's something we'll have to address at some other point.

JUDGE FEUDALE: Go ahead, Judge.

JUDGE CLELAND: My recollection is that those e-mails and handwritten notes are part of the record in this case.

MR. FINA: They are, Your Honor.

JUDGE CLELAND: Under seal but they certainly were turned over and in the possession of the defendant.

וו

MR. FINA: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. ROMINGER: Your Honor, I don't disagree if they were actually given to us. I didn't understand if Mr. Amendola had received copies. I remember being shown them in that hearing and argued but I was just pointing out these same reporters that are asking me a lot of others things are asking me pointed questions. They read those as well. I have no idea where they got them.

MR. FINA: I agree, Judge. As I started out, I started out talking in broad terms over a number of phone calls from the media and then I focused in on this specific example.

But we are receiving phone calls about other issues again that would involve matters that were not publicly disclosed as part of the trial and that is why any protective orders that Your Honors deemed appropriate in this case, we would request are broader than just the Matthew Sandusky information.

JUDGE FEUDALE: Judge, anything?

1	I mean, I heard Mr. Fina make the
2	request. It sounds like I was narrowing my
3	protective order but my understanding is that
4	Mr. Amendola nor Mr. Rominger object to both
5	judges entering a protective order that precludes
6	the discovery of any information that did not
7	come out in the public proceeding or trial and
8	that a protective order would cover those
9	materials, a broad protective order
10	MR. FINA: Yes, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE FEUDALE: Is that correct?
12	MR. AMENDOLA: Yes.
13	MR. ROMINGER: No objection.
14	JUDGE FEUDALE: No objection?
15	MR. AMENDOLA: No objection.
16	JUDGE FEUDALE: Okay.
17	JUDGE CLELAND: I will certainly draft
18	an order now and get it filed this morning.
19	MR. FINA: Thank you.
20	JUDGE FEUDALE: All right. I will do
21	likewise, maybe not this morning.
22	Anything else?
23	JUDGE CLELAND: Just one thing that I
24	would like to say, and this is certainly not in
25	the context of any legal issues. This is more in

the nature of friend to friend kind of conversation. I hope it's taken in that light.

2.0

2.2

had conversations with counsel about press problems and, you know, I hope this is the last because I'm sure that neither -- I don't mean to speak for Judge Feudale. But I'm sure neither of us want to be put in the very difficult position of having to take any further action because this has, obviously, serious implications for everybody involved and, you know, I just hope that we're not put in what could be a very awkward and difficult position if this were to happen still again.

I say that as a friend, you know. I don't mean that as a threat or, you know, anything at all like that. Just but that is the reality of the world we live in, and I just wanted to bring that to everyone's attention as a friend of the professional colleague.

MR. AMENDOLA: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. ROMINGER: Thank you.

JUDGE FEUDALE: I would echo that. I said it before but both of us are dealing with a complex, controversial, and continuing evolving

1	case but from my perspective, the grand jury
2	investigation continues. I don't determine what
3	witnesses are to be called and not called. I
4	have a responsibility for secrecy and also
5	facilitating the grand jury investigative
6	process. I heard both attorneys indicate they're
7	not going to be causing any difficulty with
8	regard to the framework of the protective order
9	that both of us are going to be entering.
10	Neither do I want coercive sanction type of
11	proceedings if we can avoid that. That was the
12	reason Mr. Fina asked us all to gather so quickly
13	to facilitate that.
14	Still how that tape or what they have,
15	how that got into the hands is of some concern to
16	me, I can tell you that.
17	MR. AMENDOLA: Yes.
18	JUDGE FEUDALE: You would indicate
19	you're going to be, Mr. Amendola, following up?
20	MR. AMENDOLA: I will verify, Your
-21	Honor, and get back to Mr. Fina.
22	MR. FINA: We're obviously looking into
23	this matter ourselves.
24	And, again, I would just like to thank
25	everybody for making themselves available here on

1 such short notice. MR. McGETTIGAN: Your Honor, this is Joe 2 3 McGettigan. I just have one inquiry I want to make 4 5 to the Court. Up until now I have limited my comments 6 7 since the entry of the gag order to one word. 8 have had a number of inquiries posed to me about the circumstances under which Mr. Sandusky did 10 not testify or that is the defendant, Mr. Sandusky, did not testify. I have not yet וו 12 responded to them but I would like to based upon 13 my recollection of the record and I do not 14 believe that would be a violation of Your Honor's 15 present order and want to make certain of that. 16 Because I have had inquiries and I would like to 17 respond to them. 18 JUDGE CLELAND: Well, speaking for 19 myself, I -- the gag order was rescinded as of 20 the entry of the verdict. I don't know that I 21 have any authority to restrict now that the 22 verdict has been entered unless there's some 23 impact on the fairness of the proceeding. 24 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. McGETTIGAN:

MR. FINA:

I have nothing further.

1	JUDGE FEUDALE: Anything else?
2	Mr. Amendola?
3	MR. AMENDOLA: No, Your Honor.
4	JUDGE FEUDALE: Thanks a lot. Thank you
5	very much, Judge Cleland. We'll close the record
6	and I'll ask the court reporter to get a copy of
7	the record prepared as expeditiously as possible
8	to be disseminated to the appropriate parties.
9	I'll be entering my order forthwith.
10	MR. FINA: Thank you.
11	JUDGE CLELAND: Thank you for including
12	me, Judge.
13	MR. McGETTIGAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14	MR. FINA: Thank you, Judge.
15	MR. AMENDOLA: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	END OF PROCEEDINGS
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me upon the hearing of the within matter, and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same. Official Reporter APPROVAL OF COURT The foregoing record of the proceedings had upon the hearing in the within case, after 1.7 having been reviewed and approved by the attorneys, is hereby approved and directed to be filed. Senior Judge ially Presiding

CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me upon the hearing of the within matter, and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same. Official Reporter APPROVAL OF COURT The foregoing record of the proceedings had upon the hearing in the within case, after having been reviewed and approved by the attorneys, is hereby approved and directed to be filed. Senior Judae ally Presiding